

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,972	04/27/2005	Achim Koehler	R.303015	9119
2119 7590 10/09/2007 RONALD E. GREIGG GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CARL STUART	
1423 POWHAT ALEXANDRIA	FAN STREET, UNIT ONE A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3747	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

F	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,972	KOEHLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Carl S. Miller	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	*	1 · 1	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•	,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition is a condition.	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>8-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>8-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/27/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/532,972

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 8-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, in Claim 8, line 9, the word "preferably" and the phrase "and/or" both make the claim vague and indefinite. Also, "the armature" and "the valve bore" in lines 6 and 7 lack antecedent basis in the claims. In claim 2, the phrase "is embodied as a ball valve" is inaccurate and should probably read - - includes - - a ball valve.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-27 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda in view of Boehm ('355)

Ueda teaches a fuel control valve for a common rail system that includes a shutoff valve in the form of a ball valve carried in a cage member on the head of a main piston valve. The flow passages of Ueda are identical to those of the invention in that the piston adjusts the flow going perpendicular to the piston movement and the ball valve stops all flow from the valve passage once the piston travels beyond a maximum stroke.

Boehm teaches a valve that control s two passages that intersect the piston valve head in the same manner as those of Ueda. Boehm, however, uses the spring biasing the piston valve as the main control valve and movement of the piston against

Application/Control Number: 10/532,972

Art Unit: 3747

the spring is provided by positive movement of the actuator pin. Note that in both Ueda and Boehm the spring pressing against the piston valve member "can be" adjusted by shifting the sleeve in the valve bore.

It would have been obvious to modify Ueda by increasing the strength of the piston spring to allow that spring to act as the main spring of the device and by using the actuator to resist spring movement as taught by Boehm because both devices were being used to control common rail fuel flow and both used similar structures a passageways to achieve the same end.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/532,972

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).